# **EXHIBIT B**

	Page 1		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
4	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,		
5	Plaintiff,		
6	vs. Case No. 3:10-cv-03561-WHA		
7	GOOGLE, INC.,		
8	Defendant.		
İ	· 		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13	*HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL*		
14	PURSUANT TO THE PROTECTIVE ORDER		
15	VIDEO DEPOSITION OF JAMES R. KEARL, Ph.D.		
16	San Francisco, California		
17	Wednesday, March 23, 2016		
18	Volume I		
19			
20			
21			
22	REPORTED BY:		
23	REBECCA L. ROMANO, RPR, CSR No. 12546		
24			
25	Job No. CS2276036		
Į			

	Page 2
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
. 4	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,
5	Plaintiff,
6	vs. Case No. 3:10-cv-03561-WHA
7.	GOOGLE, INC.,
8	Defendant.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	DEPOSITION OF JAMES R. KEARL, Ph.D.,
14	taken on behalf of the Defendant, at
15	633 Battery Street, San Francisco, California,
16	commencing at 8:38 a.m., Wednesday,
17	March 23, 2016, before Rebecca L. Romano,
18	Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 12546
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
i	

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
2	
3	For the Plaintiff:
4	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
5	BY: ANNETTE L. HURST
6	BY: ROBERT KEELE
7	BY: ANDREW KIM
8	Attorneys at Law
9	The Orrick Building
10	405 Howard Street
11	San Francisco, California 94105-2669
12	(415) 773-4585
13	ahurst@orrick.com
14	rkeele@orrick.com
15	akim@orrick.com
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	////

		Page	4
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (cont'd)		
2			
3	For the Defendant:		
4	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP		
5	BY: STEVEN P. RAGLAND		
6	Attorney at Law		
7	633 Battery Street		
8	San Francisco, California 94111		
9	(415) 773-6604		
10	sragland@kvn.com		
11			
12	For James Kearl:		
13	FARELLA BRUAN + MARTEL LLP		
14	BY: JOHN L. COOPER		
15	Attorney at Law		
16	Russ Building		
17	235 Montgomery Street		
18	San Francisco, California 94104		
19	(415) 954-4400		
20	jcooper@fbm.com		
21			
22	·		
23			
24			
25	////		
ł			

	Page 5	
1	APPEARANCES (cont'd)	
2		
3	ALSO PRESENT:	
4	Gregory Adams, Ph.D., Charles River Associates	İ
5	Frank Clare, Videographer	
6	Susan Kim, In-House Counsel Google	
7	Deborah Miller, In-House Counsel Oracle	
8	Stephen Rusek, Edgeworth Economics	
9		
10	· · · · · ·	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		İ
23		
24		
25	////	
		l

			Page 6
1		INDEX	
2	DEPONENT		EXAMINATION
3	JAMES R. KEARL,	Ph.D.	PAGE
	VOLUME I		
4			
5		BY MR. RAGLAND	11, 201
6		BY MS. HURST	156, 206
7			
8			
9			
10		EXHIBITS	
11	NUMBER		PAGE
12		DESCRIPTION	
13	Exhibit 1580	Expert Report of	
14		Professor James R. Kearl,	
15		(Corrected 3/21/2016);	27
16			
17	Exhibit 1581	Appendix C: Materials	
18		Relied Upon Legal Filings;	68
19			
20	Exhibit 1582	Article - Platform Choice by	
21		Mobile App Developers,	
22		5/29/2014;	70
23			
24			
25	1////		

		HOHET CONFIDENTIAL	
			Page 7
1		EXHIBITS (cont'd)	
2	NUMBER		PAGE
3		DESCRIPTION .	
4	Exhibit 1583	Article - Essays on the	
5		Economics of the Smartphone	
6		and Application Industry,	
7		September 2013;	77
8			
9	Exhibit 1584	Exhibit 1, Comparison of	
10		Mr. Malackowski's and	
11		Dr. Leonard's Estimates of	
12		Android Traffic Acquisition	
13		Costs (TAC).	107
14			
<b>1</b> 5			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20		·	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	////		
	<u> </u>		

Page 8 1 San Francisco, California; Wednesday, March 23, 2016 8:38 a.m. 2 ---000---3 4 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are 6 on the record at 8:38 a.m. on March 23rd, 2016. 7 This is the video-recorded deposition of Dr. James Kearl. My name is Frank Clare here with 8 9 our court reporter, Rebecca Romano. We are here from Veritext Legal Solutions 10 11 at request of counsel for defendant. This deposition is being held at 12 13 Keker & Van Nest in San Francisco. 14 The caption of this case is 15 Oracle America, Incorporated, versus Google, Incorporated, Case No. 3:10-cv-03561-WHA. 16 1.7 Please note that audio and video recording will take place unless all parties agree 18 19 to go off the record. Microphones are sensitive 20 and may pick up whispers, private conversations, 21 and cellular interference. 22 I am not related to any party in this 23 action, nor am I financially interested in the 24 outcome in any way. 25 At this time, will counsel please

Page 206 1 one quick follow-up. 2 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MS. HURST: 3 4 0. You've talked about an output produced by 5 complements. 6 Does that sometime involve the 7 possibility that two inputs will create synergies that is more than the sum of their parts? 8 9 Α. Sure. 10 0. And so, for example, if you have input J 11 and input A, and the resulting product is J plus A, 12 plus synergies, then by combining the two inputs 13 you've created more value --14 MR. RAGLAND: Objection to form. 15 0. (By Ms. Hurst) -- is that right? 16 Α. Correct. 17 Q. Is it true that the use of non-infringing 18 alternatives allows the infringer to retain the 19 value of the synergies, under those circumstances? 20 MR. RAGLAND: Objection to form. 21 THE DEPONENT: That's a -- an interesting 22 question. 23 The -- the fundamental problem is that if 24 you have synergies, they're attributed to the 25 jointness and, therefore, you can't parcel out the

Page 207 1 contribution of any single thing to the synergy, at 2 least not easily. The -- and to the degree that a counterfactual -- claim to do that, then it 3 4 would -- you know, then -- then it would be 5 claiming too much. 6 It's -- it's a hard question because it's 7 unclear what -- what the -- the counterfactual 8 implies about -- about the synergy. I don't have anything else to say from 9 10 that. 11 MS. HURST: Let me ask one follow-up. 12 (By Ms. Hurst) Is there anything about 0. 13 Dr. Leonard's use of the Kim model that attempts to 14 account for and allocate synergies as between the 15 input Java and the rest of the -- the Android 16 platform? 17 MR. RAGLAND: Objection to form. 18 THE DEPONENT: No. 19 MS. HURST: No further questions. 20 MR. COOPER: Okay. For clarity, I take 21 it the deposition is over? 22 MS. HURST: From my perspective, you bet. 23 MR. COOPER: Okay. That is correct. 24 MR. RAGLAND: 25 MR. COOPER: Okay. Thank you.

Page 208 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the testimony given by Dr. James Kearl. The three original discs will be retained by Veritext. We're off the record at 3:23 p.m. (TIME NOTED: 3:23 p.m.) ---000---

Page 209

I, Rebecca L. Romano, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that a record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is true record of the testimony given.

Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [] was [X] was not requested.

I further certify I am neither financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney or any party to this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my name.

Dated: March 24, 2016

teleccas formani

Rebecca L. Romano, RPR, CSR. No 12546